

①

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = Q = 5 \times 10^{48} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

RATE OF IONIZING PHOTON PRODUCTION BY STAR

$$N = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 n$$

OF H'S TO IONIZE

$$\frac{N}{\Delta t} = \Delta t = \frac{\frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 n}{Q} = \frac{4 \pi (10 \text{ pc})^3 \left(\frac{3.086 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}}{\text{pc}} \right)^3 (10 \text{ cm}^{-3})}{3 (5 \times 10^{48} \text{ s}^{-1})}$$

$$= 2.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ s} = \underline{\underline{8,000 \text{ years}}}$$

← τ_{06}

SEE ALSO CLASS NOTES 2005/10/26

②

PER H ATOM →

$$\frac{\# \text{ COLLISIONAL EXCITATIONS}}{\text{TIME}} = n_e \sigma_{12}$$

$$= n_e \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{kT}} \frac{v^2}{v_0^{3/2}} \frac{\sigma_{(1,2)}}{\omega_{12}} e^{-\Delta E/kT}$$

$$\Delta E = \frac{hc}{1220 \text{ \AA}} = 1.63 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg}$$

$$T = 10^4 \text{ K} \rightarrow kT = 1.38 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg}$$

$$\omega_{12} = 1$$

$\sigma_{(1,2)} \approx 1$ WE ASSUME

$$n_e = 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

PLUG IN:

$$\frac{\# \text{ COLLISIONAL EXCITATIONS}}{\text{TIME}} = 6.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

TIME BETWEEN

$$\text{COLLISIONAL EXCITATIONS} = 1.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ s} = 5000 \text{ yr}$$

TIME FOR $n=2$ TO RADIATIVELY DROP TO $n=1$: $\frac{1}{8.2} \text{ s} = 0.12 \text{ s}$

→

$$\frac{\# \text{ PHOTOIONIZATIONS}}{\text{TIME} \cdot \text{VOL}} = n_{\text{H}} \int \frac{4\pi J_{\nu}}{h\nu} a_{\nu}(\text{H}^0) d\nu$$

$$\approx 10^{-8} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

(OSWALD-BROOK P. 13)

↳ @ 5 pc

$$\frac{\# \text{PHOTOIONIZATIONS}}{\text{TIME}} = 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1} \Rightarrow \text{TIME BETWEEN PHOTOIONIZATIONS} = 10^8 \text{ s}$$

← PER H ATOM

COLLISIONAL EXCITATION TIME \gg RADIATIVE DE-EXCITATION TIME
 \Rightarrow DOESN'T SIT IN UPPER STATE

PHOTOIONIZATION TIME \gg RADIATIVE DE-EXCITATION TIME
 \Rightarrow DOESN'T EVEN SIT UP THERE LONG ENOUGH TO MATTER FOR PHOTOIONIZATION

COLLISIONAL EXCITATION TIME \gg PHOTOIONIZATION TIME
 \Rightarrow TYPICAL ATOM WILL BE PHOTOIONIZED BEFORE IT EVEN COLLIDES UP AT ALL!

3

$$\chi(\text{N I} - \text{N II}) = 14.5 \text{ eV} \leftarrow \text{CLOSE TO N I} \rightarrow \text{N II}$$

$$\chi(\text{N II} - \text{N III}) = 29.6 \text{ eV} \leftarrow \text{CLOSE TO He I} - \text{He II} \text{ \& } \text{O II} \rightarrow \text{O III}$$

SO WHY MORE [N II] WHEN MORE [O III]? YOU'D EXPECT HOTTER STARS TO DEplete N II IN FAVOR OF N III

BUT IF YOU HAVE A RANGE OF IONIZATION STATES, YOU WILL GET MORE "LOW" IONIZATION LINES LIKE [N II]. IN AGN, THE HARD NON-THERMAL SPECTRUM PRODUCES BIG PARTIALLY IONIZED REGIONS WITH BIGGER N II, O I, etc.